

**PHILIP A. RAFFERTY, ESQ.**  
*State Bar No. 62458*  
330 East Ellis Avenue  
Inglewood, California 90302-1316  
Cell: (310) 415-7680 · Fax: (310) 673-3886 · Office: (424) 227-6054  
[philiprafferty1@gmail.com](mailto:philiprafferty1@gmail.com)

08 September 2013

Justice Sonja Sotomayor  
C/O Supreme Court of the United States  
U.S. Supreme Court Building  
1 First Street Northeast  
Washington, D.C. 20543

Dear Justice Sotomayor: Greetings ☺: As you know full well, when Supreme Court justices are acting in their official capacity as justices, they are subject to the dictates of 5<sup>th</sup> Amendment due process. (See, e.g., Oregon v. Mitchell (1990), 400 U.S. 113, 246.) With that in mind, carefully read the relatively few pages attached (consisting of a copy of the 1<sup>st</sup> page of professor Jeffrey W. Stempel's online law review article, "Completing Caperton", and the relevant portions of these pages from my Unraveling book: 175, 176, 177, 191, 192, 194, 42, 43, 191, 65, 66, 221, 61, 62, 216, 217). If ever I catch wind that you, or Justices Kagan or Ginsburg (but for fear of losing the statutory requirement mandating six justices to make a quorum, I would add Justice Kennedy here ☺) are in a position to vote on granting review on a Roe v. Wade-related abortion case, or that any one of the three of you will be sitting on such a case in which review has been granted, then I will file an amicus petition to recuse the three of you (and will serve a copy of the petition on all parties).

Therefore, I suggest that the Supreme Court make up an order decreeing "that henceforth, no more Justice Powells shall be permitted to sit on any Supreme Court case bearing on Roe v. Wade". ☺

Respectfully,

Philip A. Rafferty

Attachments

/sp